1	(Whereupon, off the record from
2.	2:37 p.m. until 2:51 p.m.)
3	JUDGE SIPPEL: Okay, we're on the
4	record. Mr. Titus you're on.
5	THE WITNESS: Okay, I'm here.
6	· BY MS. LANCASTER:
7	Q Mr. Titus, on page I believe
8	we're on page 43 of EB Exhibit 4. I think we
9	briefly touched on this earlier about you
10	being required to take a polygraph examination
1.1.	but refusing to answer two questions on it
12	unless you wanted the questions restated in
1.3	a different way and I believe you said you
14	recall doing that.
15	Do you recall that testimony first
16	of all?
17	MR. LYON: I don't recall this.
18	THE WITNESS: Yes, yes I do.
19	MS. LANCASTER: Okay.
20	BY MS. LANCASTER:
21	Q And read paragraph the paragraph
22	where it says violation one and let me know if

that accurately describes that situation? 1 I will do my best --2 JUDGE SIPPEL: Wait just a minute, 3 wait just a minute. 4 MR. LYON: Your Honor, at this time 5 I'm going to object to questioning the witness 6 regarding an area moved and limiting for 7 questions involving the witnesses sexual 8 behavior that doesn't involve children. 9 As I look through the violation 10 notices they have a recurring theme that his 11 therapist wanted him to disclose who he was 12 having sex with, not the children, but what 13 adult sexual relationships he was having and 14 Mr. Titus refused to do so. 15 I think he has the right to do 16 I think that it is a matter of his 17 privacy and the fact that back in 1996 it may 18 have been considered deviant to be gay or to 19 have promiscuous relationships I think the 20 Supreme Court has made it pretty clear now 2.1

that you have a constitutional right to engage

2.2

1.	in homosexual behavior.
2	MS. LANCASTER: Your Honor, I'm
3	going to object to the speech nature of his
4	objection.
5	JUDGE SIPPEL: Well it's not a
6	speech
7	MR. LYON: I think I'm entitled to
8	make an objection without being interrupted.
9	I'm sorry.
10	JUDGE SIPPEL: Yes, go ahcad
11	continue and let's ···
12	MR. LYON: But I will wrap it up.
13	f think Your Honor, that it is non-probative
14	as to whether he's likely to offend against
15	children and quite honestly 1 think we're
16	wasting a lot of time here.
17	MS. LANCASTER: Your Honor, if I
18	may respond. First of all, during these
19	violations he was
20	JUDGE SIPPEL: He's right though
21	isn't he?
22	MS. DANCASTER: No sir, he's not

1	right.
2.	JUDGE SIPPEL: He's not?
3	MS. LANCASTER: No sir.
4	JUDGE SIPPEL: You don't have a
5	right to he has no right to having
6	consensual sex with adults?
7	MS. LANCASTER: That's not the
8	issue.
9	JUDGE SIPPEL: Well that's what he
10	said.
11	MS. LANCASTER: But that's not the
12	issue, that's the way he would like to frame
13	the issue in this situation.
14	JUDGE SIPPEL: I'm asking you what
15	he said. E'm trying to get at least an
16	agreement to understand you agree with his
17	premise.
18	His premise is that the Supreme
19	Court now permits adults, consenting adults to
20	have homosexual acts together.
21	MR. KNOWLES-KELLETT: We don't
22	dispute that, Your Honor.

JUDGE SIPPEL: Thank you, now where ] do you disagree. Where is he disagreeing? MR. KNOWLES-KELLETT: Okay, with 3 our right to go into Mr. Titus' sexual 4 practices. Dr. Allmon reviews him at length 17 in his report. 6 JUDGE SIPPEL: Yes, but we're not 17 doctors. 8 MR. KNOWLES-KELLETT: Well we're G allowed to question the basis for his report 10 or allowed to question the underlying facts. 1.1 And we're allowed to look into whether he's 1.2 having safe sex and how he chooses his sexual 13 partners. That's all it is during this 1.4 15 hearing. MR. LYON: Correct me if I'm wrong 16 Your Honor, but my understanding is we're 17 trying to determine whether Mr. Titus would 18 likely use an amateur radio license to attract 19 children with whom he would offend. Not 20 whether he's having safe sex, not whether we 21

agree with is promiscuity, not whether we

agree with his homosexuality or his other 1 2 sexual practices. 3 I guess we can leave for tomorrow whether it's appropriate to ask Dr. Allmon 4 whether his adult sexual relationships are a 5 problem as to the Likelihood to offend the 6 7 children. But I don't think we're getting anywhere with where we're going right now. 8 MS. LANCASTER: Let me speak 9 please. Your Honor, first of all in this 1() particular question we're asking whether or 11 not Mr. Titus complied with the Court ordered 12 And he was ordered to take a 13 therapy. polygraph. This is not since he's been, you 1.1 know this is not anything recent. This is 15 back when he was being supervised and he 16 refused to answer whether or not he was being 17 18 honest. That has a whole lot to do with 19 this treatment, the effectiveness of his 2.0 treatment, whether his -- mister --2.1

JUDGE SIPPEL: Well what are you

trying to establish. That he wasn't truthful in connection with the polygraph, or that he wasn't cooperative?

MS. LANCASTER: Both, he is not only not cooperative, he is not truthful and -

JUDGE SIPPEL: Well what did he tell the doctor. What did he tell - whoever was taking his lie detector test what did he ever tell then that wasn't true?

MS. LANCASTER: At this point he's refusing to answer the questions. He'll saying he'll only answer the -- and there's lots of the summaries in the written documentation about his sexual treatment is that his treatment was ineffective because he either lies about it or he hides it by omission and there's no evidence that he's really fully had an effective treatment plan and that certainly goes to the heart of whether or not he is -- still remains a threat period.

## NEAL R. GROSS COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS 1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE , N.W. WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

Ą

Ľ5

2.0

1	JUDGE SIPPEL: Well wait a minute,
2	are you trying to establish as a fact to this
3	witness that whether or not he had a
4	successful treatment. Is that the idea?
5	MS. LANCASTER: In part.
6	JUDGE SIPPEL: Well I don't know if
7	he's qualified to make that kind of a finding.
8	We know what his conduct was with respect to
9	cooperation, lack of cooperation with the
Û	therapist. He's very straightforward on that.
. 1	MS. LANCASTER: Well I don't think
2	he's been as straightforward on that as he
.3	could have.
.4	JUDGE SIPPEL: On that, I said on
5	that.
-6	MS. LANCASTER: But in this
. 7	particular question, although Mr. Lyon would
.8	like to have this question concern his adult
.9	sexual conduct this question actually concerns
20	whether or not he complied with treatment and
? 1	whether or not he refused to honestly answer

a question that he was asked as a part of his

]	treatment. And he didn't
2	JUDGE SIPPEL: What is that
3	question?
4	MR. LYON: Have you been open and
5	honest with your therapist regarding your
6	sexual activity.
7	MS. LANCASTER: And he refused to
8	answer that unless it was rephrase to say have
9	you lied to Dr. McCarthy about the things you
10	have talked about. Not the same question at
1.1	all.
12	JUDGE SIPPEL: And from what all
13	right, from that you're going to this is
1.4	what and this is what transpired. Let me
15	ask the witness.
16	Mr. Titus, is this what transpired?
17	THE WITNESS: Your Honor, I'd like
18	to try to answer the best that I can. But
19	this is you know these violation are
20	already 15 years ago.
21	JUDGE SIPPEL: I understand that.
22	But is it true to the best of your

1	recollection were you asked the question or
2.	something to the effect of that, open and
3	honest with your therapist regarding sexual
4	activity and you refused to answer because you
5	wanted it rephrased?
6.	THE WITNESS: Your Honor, I believe
7	that's correct but I can't say clearly about
8	that.
9	JUDGE SIPPEL: All right.
10	THE WITNESS: You know what I can
11	say is the violation I had was for the
12	pornography and T never had there's no
13	sustained allegations from my probation time.
14	MS. LANCASTER: Your Honor, that
15	sorry.
16	JUDGE SIPPEL: Yes, let him finish.
17	MS. LANCASTER: Sorry, I thought he
18	was through.
19	JUDGE STPPEL: Go ahead.
20	THE WITNESS: The Judge, you know
21	these issues were raised and even these issues
22	in this violation report were raised in front

1.	of the Judge in Court in Benton County and the
2	Judge did not side with the State.
3	So, there was no violation because
4	he found me not guilty so we're beating a dead
5	horse.
6	JUDGE SIPPEL: Well that's
7	you're trying to be Judge and jury on this Mr.
8	Titus and just take it as far as you're being
9	asked.
10	THE WITNESS: Okay.
11	JUDGE SIPPEL: If he can't
12	recollect something and there's no it's a
13	business document that appears to be in order
14	I don't see where that's going to be a
15	difficulty in terms of making a finding.
16	MS. LANCASTER: Well Your Honor, I
17	
18	JUDGE SIPPEL: But he hasn't quite
19	got ! don't know first of all I'm going
20	to I do think that there is a point here to
21	be made and that is that we're only talking
22	about juvenile conduct and I don't know what

f i

the relevance of adult conduct is going to be 1 to juvenile conduct. Sexual preoccupation? 2 3 MS. LANCASTER: Your Honor, that's certainly one -- yes sir, that's certainly one 4 5 JUDGE SIPPEL: Is that going to be 6 7 okay, go ahead. MS. LANCASTER: It has a whole lot 8 to do with whether he had effective sex 9 therapy treatment and you know whether he 10 cooperated with it and whether he is truthful 1.1 as part of it all of which were conditions and 12 the testimony so far has been that he will lie : 3 to get out of trouble. And that he did not, 3.4 was not cooperative and that he is trying to 16 turn it around so that he can answer what he 16 wants to answer as opposed to what he was 17 18 asked. this -- there's 19 And in documentation in the file that promiscuous 20 behavior is considered deviant behavior and 21

has an effect on the effectiveness of any sex

1 therapy treatment.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

1.4

15

16

17

1.8

19

2.0

21

22

Now he's a sex offender and you're trying to make a determination whether or not he still presents a threat. And the fact that he's failed every one of his sex therapy treatment sessions is certainly relevant to that and he in effect has had very little effective treatment and he's still out there on the street and he has a radio and he can talk to whomever he wants on the radio including children.

That's why it's, you know -- this point alone is not going to prove the entire case that we have. But it's all of these points about the types of things he has done are cumulative.

JUDGE SIPPEL: All right, okay.

MR. LYON: Your Honor --

JUDGE SIPPEL: I hear -- go ahead.

MR. LYON: Counsel is grossly mischaracterized the record. Counsel has not chosen to present a psychologist. Counsel is

## NEAL R. GROSS COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS 1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W. WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

1.	making the assertions based on ten, 12 year
2	old documents as to what sexual promiscuity
3	may or may not constitute. Hasn't seen fit to
$4 \parallel$	present expert testimony on this. I don't
5	think that you can make findings that sexual
6	promiscuity is deviant conduct.
7	And certainly in light of the
8	Lawrence Decision I don't think you can make
9	findings that he's had a thousand homosexual
э	relationships has any relation to whether he's
1	likely to have a sexual relationship with a
2	child.
3	JUDGE SIPPEL: Well
1	MS. LANCASTER: But that's not the
5	question here.
6	JUDGE SIPPEL: You don't have to go
7	any further. You've made your point and her
8	point is that sexual deviant conduct across
9	the board puts you in a category where it sort
0	of tips the scales that you're going to be a
$1  \left\  \right\ $	threat to adolescents in the community.

I'm paraphrasing here. So she's

1

1.

1

2

not saying, she's not looking to prove that there's been violations of the law with respect to consenting adult conduct. She's simply saying that you get into this category of sexual deviant conduct that it goes ther burden of proof which is that he's a threat. So this is all a perspective.

MR. LYON: I understand that that's the Bureau's case. They haven't chosen to present testimony from a witness upon whom you can rely on --

JUDGE SIPPEL: Well that's for finding and you're arguing the quality of the evidence. I'm just trying to get to whether or not she's got a right to make her case the way she's doing it.

That's all I can decide today. And all I'm saying - well I'm trying to get this thing to the point where we're moving off the page. Why don't we ask the witness if there's anything in this page, page 43 that he disagrees with.

1.1

2.0

1.	MS. LANCASTER: I would be happy to
2	ask him that, Your Honor.
3	JUDGE SIPPEL: And then if he
4	disagrees with it let's ask him why.
5	MS. LANCASTER: Okay, I'd be happy
6	to do that way.
7	JUDGE SIPPEL: Will that get you
8	where you want to go?
9	MS. LANCASTER: I don't know, we'll
10	see.
11	JUDGE STPPEL: Do you understand
12	what I'm asking you Mr. Titus?
13	THE WITNESS: Yes.
14	JUDGE SIPPEL: Is there anything on
15	this violation one, violation two, violation
16	three, is there anything in there that's of a
17	factual nature that you disagree with. In
18	other words you say did not happen or it's
19	wrong?
20	THE WITNESS: Let me read through
21	it real quick.
22	JUDGE SIPPEL: Go ahead, take your

1	time. The violation number one are you
2	almost there Mr. Titus?
3	THE WITNESS: I'm on the last.
4	paragraph.
5	JUDGE SIPPEL: I'm just talking
6	about I'm only talking about violation one.
7	One paragraph.
8	THE WITNESS: Well I'm
9	JUDGE SIPPEL: We're going to take
10	it one paragraph at a time.
1.1	THE WITNESS: Yes, that one I'm
12	done with them. I'm not disputing anything
1.3	about this point.
14	JUDGE SIPPEL: All right, well what
15	about violation two, the second paragraph?
16	THE WITNESS: I'm not going to
17	dispute anything there either, Your Honor.
18	JUDGE SIPPEL: And what about
19	violation three, the third paragraph?
20	THE WITNESS: I'm almost finished
21	with that one.
2.2	JUDGE SIPPEL: Go ahead.

1 THE WITNESS: Yes, from recollection I'm not going to dispute any of 2 these three violations at this point. 3 JUDGE SIPPEL: All right, fine 4 5 you've said all you need to say on it. with respect to what's on page 44 why don't 6 7 you read - let's take it paragraph by 8 paragraph. You tell me if there's any sentence 9 or phrase in there that you disagree with as 10 far as having factually occurred. Mr. Titus' 11 adjustment to supervision --12 13 MR. LYON: Your Honor, I note that this is the therapists or the evaluate - this 14 is an evaluation by Mr. Harris. I don't know 15 that it purports to state facts. 16 JUDGE SIPPEL: Okay, I'm sorry. If 17 this is an evaluation. But let's just take it 18 one sentence at a time. Mr. Titus' adjustment 1.9 to supervision continues to be extremely poor 20 as of August 1996. Would you agree with that 21

statement?

1	THE WITNESS: Yes, I do.
2	JUDGE SIPPEL: Okay, how about the
3	next one, resistant to all aspects of
4	treatment, supervision?
5	THE WITNESS: I would not say
6	resistant to all aspects. But I'm a resistant
7	person I would say.
8	JUDGE SIPPEL: All right, Mr. Titus
9 .	has gone great lengths to hide, conceal his
10	personal activities and associations?
11	THE WITNESS: That's true.
12	JUDGE SIPPEL: Freely admits he
13	wants to keep his community corrections
13 14	wants to keep his community corrections officer from knowing anything about any
14	officer from knowing anything about any
14 15	officer from knowing anything about any portion of his life?
14 15 16	officer from knowing anything about any portion of his life?  THE WITNESS: That's not exactly
14 15 16 17	officer from knowing anything about any portion of his life?  THE WITNESS: That's not exactly true.
14 15 16 17 18	officer from knowing anything about any portion of his life?  THE WITNESS: That's not exactly true.  JUDGE SIPPEL: And the portion of
14 15 16 17 18	officer from knowing anything about any portion of his life?  THE WITNESS: That's not exactly true.  JUDGE SIPPEL: And the portion of your life that you did want to protect was

.)_	THE WITNESS: Yes, that's correct.
2.	JUDGE SIPPEL: And then he's very -
3 .	- Mr. Titus is adamant that he refused to
4	discuss associate platonic or sexual or any of
5	his activities. Well that's you don't have
6	any problem with that statement do you?
7	THE WITNESS: That's correct, I
8	don't.
9	JUDGE SIPPEL: Okay, and I don't
10	want to be pushing you on this now but I think
11	that we have a general feel for where you're
12	going on this.
13	Refuses to accept that as a sex
14	offender he cannot keep secrets. Don't spend
15	a lot of time on that sentence I don't know
16	what it means really.
17	MS. LANCASTER: I'm sorry Your
18	Honor, my interpretation of that is he can't
19	not tell about his sexual activities.
20	JUDGE SIPPEL: Yes, but cannot
21	keep secrets is a far cry from that. That's
2.2	way too broad. Cannot keep to himself the

NEAL R. GROSS
COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS
1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W.
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

1.	activities that are relevant is something
2	else. But he cannot keep secrets, that's an
3	awfully broad one.
4	More so than any other sex offenses
5	forced out of secrecy. That's more argument.
6	Mr. Titus' continuous secretive behavior is
7	justifiably concerning.
8	What would you say to that?
9	THE WITNESS: I would say that is
10	his opinion. The current facts state
11	something different than his opinion, that's
12	all I can say about it.
13	JUDGE SIPPED: Typically the first
1.4	nule of sexual deviancy treatment is the
15	expectation requirement the client will not
16	keep secrets or force a secretive environment.
17	Well that's really a technical
18	statement isn't it. Do you have a response to
19	that at all?
20	THE WITNESS: No I don't.
21	JUDGE SIPPEL: You've been involved
22	in this stuff. You've had your own counsel

]	and advice from doctors. Is this without
2	identifying who the doctor is do you have a
3	general understanding as to what your problem
4	is. When you have this problem is it because
5	of secretive conduct or?
6	THE WITNESS: Well I made bad
7	choices when I was young and I decided that T
8	was going to stop making those bad choices and
9	make age appropriate legal choices. That's
10	what it really boils down to.
11.	MS. LANCASTER: That's not
12	responsive, Your Honor.
13	JUDGE SIPPEL: I know it's not, but
14	then again the question really not this is
15	a bad one to do this with because these are
16	all general, their general conclusions drawn
17	really in a professional way. The witness is
18	I don't feel qualified to ask them I don't
19	think either.
20	Okay, I'm finished with that! I
21	don't think it's going to make any sense to go
22	any further with it. Is there anything more

1	you need now with this witness on this point?
2	MS. LANCASTER: I will move on.
3	JUDGE SIPPEL: Okay, all right
4	thank you.
5	BY MS. LANCASTER:
6	Q I forgot to ask you something Mr.
7	Titus back when I was first getting your
8	family history. It's my understanding that
9	when you were 6 years old that a babysitter,
10	your babysitter had anal intercourse with you.
1.1	Is that correct?
12	A I don't recall that.
13	Q Do you recall saying not only do
14	you not recall saying that you liked it and
15	consented to it?
16	A I do not recall that when I was 6
17	years old. No, absolutely not.
1.8	Q And do you deny that you were ever
19	sexually abused?
20	A I do deny that, yes.
21	Q I'm going back and trying to pick
22	up things that I may have forgotten to ask you

1	and one of the things I noted that I didn't
2	ask you about is the Sex Offender Treatment
3	summary that was done by Julie Aff and Michael
4	Morris. Remember us discussing that
5	particular summary?
6	A Yes I do.
7	Q Do you recall that you refused to
8	sign for your copy of that document?
9	MR. LYON: Objection, relevance.
10	JUDGE SIPPEL: Well you can answer
11	the question. I think it's I agree, I
1.2	question the relevance of it. But what the
13	heck. Do you remember signing it. You know
14	signing - well go ahead, tell me what your
15	answer is?
16	THE WITNESS: My recollection is
17	that I refused to sign it because I read it
1.8	and I didn't agree with it.
19	MS. LANCASTER: Okay.
20	BY MS. LANCASTER:
21	Q Going back to the Mercer Island
22	incident. When confronted by the police on

1	Mercer Island did you first tell them that you
2	were meeting a HAM operator that was a friend
3	of yours?
4	A I did not. I read that in the
5	report, but that's an inaccurate statement.
6	Q Hold on, let me find that. What
7	page, 39.
8	JUDGE SIPPEL: Are you still on
9	Exhibit 4?
0	MS. LANCASTER: Yes sir, everything
1	pretty much is Exhibit 4. One moment please.
2	BY MS. LANCASTER:
.3	Q Did you then tell them that you had
.4	met Charles over an internet chat room and had
5	set up a meeting to meet him on the corner?
. 6	A You already asked me that and my
.7	answer was no.
.8	Q Okay, do you recall exactly what
.9	you did tell them?
20	A I told them in regards to what.
21	T mean
22	Q As to who you were meeting there